UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/540,716	06/10/2005	John E Walls	VMAFriUSNP	2484
39208 CR MILES, P.C	7590 06/23/200 C.	EXAMINER		
CRAIG R. MIL	ES	THOMAS, TIMOTHY P		
405 MASON COURT, SUITE 119 FORT COLLINS, CO 80524			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/23/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/540,716	WALLS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	TIMOTHY P. THOMAS	1614
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D/ Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Example 2.	action is non-final.	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	wn from consideration.	ion requirement.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all all all all all all all all all al	epted or b) objected to by the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See iion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/540,716 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

REQUIREMENT FOR UNITY OF INVENTION

1. As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(a), a national stage application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept ("requirement of unity of invention"). Where a group of inventions is claimed in a national stage application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim. See 37 CFR 1.475(e).

When Claims Are Directed to Multiple Categories of Inventions:

As provided in 37 CFR 1.475(b), a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following combinations of categories:

- (1) A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said
- product; or
- (2) A product and process of use of said product; or

Application/Control Number: 10/540,716 Page 3

Art Unit: 1614

(3) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said

product, and a use of the said product; or

(4) A process and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out

the said process; or

(5) A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said

product, and an apparatus or means specifically designed for carrying out the

said process.

Otherwise, unity of invention might not be present. See 37 CFR 1.475(c).

Election/Restrictions

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic

invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so

linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Applicant is required to elect for each of the following:

(i) a capsule wall thickness specie (claim 32), elected from claim 37 or 38;

(ii) a capsule size specie (claim 33), elected from claim 47 or 48;

(iii) a perceivable sensorial indicia specie, elected from the species recited in

claims 49 or 58;

(iv) a discrete event specie, elected from the species recited in claims 50 or 51; if

claim 51 is elected, also elect

(iv-a) a time duration specie, elected from the species recited in claim 45,

46 or 52;

Application/Control Number: 10/540,716

Art Unit: 1614

(v) each compound specie present in a single disclosed composition; elect each component present from the compounds recited in claims 39 and 61-66.

Page 4

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

- 3. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:
- (i) claims 32, 37, 38;
- (ii) claims 33, 47, 48;
- (iii) claims 49, 58;
- (iv) claims 50-51, 45, 46, 52;
- (v) claims 39, 61-66

The following claim(s) are generic: claims 1-2, 32-33, 39, 45-52; 58-66 are generic for (i); claims 1-2, 32-33, 37-39, 45-6, 49-52; 58-66 are generic for (ii); claims 1-2, 32-33,

Art Unit: 1614

37-39, 45-48, 50-52; 59-66 are generic for (iii); claims 1-2, 32-33, 37-39, 45-49, 52; 58-66 are generic for (iv); claims 1-2, 32-33, 37-39, 45-52; 58-65 are generic for (v).

4. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The species lack unity of invention because even though the inventions of these groups require the technical feature of the composition of claim 1, this technical feature is not a special technical feature as it does not make a contribution over the prior art in view of Schnoring et al. (US 4,402,856; 1983). Schnoring teaches microcapsules (a plurality of capsules) having a defined release temperature; the microcapsules are formed of gelatin or mixtures including gelatin (abstract); core materials can be used in washing agents and bath additives, as particularly preferred embodiments (col. 2, lines 42-49); examples of components that may be microencapsulated include perfume oils, disinfectants and detergent additives (col. 3, lines 62-66); the microcapsules release their core material in the presence of water of aqueous solutions in a defined, narrow temperature range, which can be adjusted within a relatively wide range (satisfies the aqueous carrier requirement of the composition, demonstrates an adjustable capsule rupture characteristic or a specific temperature; col. 5, lines 25-31); the use of a perfume, for example, would be a sensorial indicia (smell); example 1 included a blue dye which was used to determine the amount released (a perceivable sensorial indicia; col. 7, line 54-col. 8, line 7); specific amounts of materials are taught (see for example, Tables 2-3, columns 9-10), which satisfy the "amount of material" contained in a capsule; a specific temperature achieved where the material is released would satisfy the release of material at the occurrence of a discrete event. Therefore Schnoring anticipates claim 1. According the species are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept.

5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TIMOTHY P. THOMAS whose telephone number is (571)272-8994. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/540,716 Page 7

Art Unit: 1614

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Timothy P Thomas/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614

/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614